

PTO/SB/21 (04-07) Approved for use through 09/30/2007. OMB 0651-0031

CAT & TRADE	U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMER pond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control num	CE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to resp	pond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control num	ber.

Application Number 10/518,462 **TRANSMITTAL** Filing Date December 22, 2004 **FORM** First Named Inventor Yu NAGASE Art Unit 1626 Examiner Name R. T. Shiao (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) Attorney Docket Number Total Number of Pages in This Submission 584282000100

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)					
Fee Transr	mittal Form	Drawing(s)		After Allowance Communication to TC	
Fee /	Attached	Licensing-related Papers		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences	
x Amendmer	mendment/Reply Petition			Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)	
After	Final	Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		Proprietary Information	
Affida	avits/declaration(s)	Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence	on Address	Status Letter	
Extension	of Time Request	Terminal Disclaimer		X Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):	
Express At	pandonment Request	Request for Refund		Return Receipt Postcard	
Information	Disclosure Statement	CD, Number of CD(s)			
Certified Control Document(opy of Priority s)	Landscape Table on	CD		
	issing Parts/ Application	Remarks			
	y to Missing Parts under FR 1.52 or 1.53				
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT					
Firm Name	MOBRISON & FOERSTER LLP				
Signature Montene:					
Printed name	Barry E. Bretschneider				
Date	August 8, 2007		Reg. No.	28,055	

In re Patent Application of:

Yu NAGASE et al.

Serial No.: 10/518,462

Filing Date: December 22, 2004

For: COMPOUND HAVING

PHOSPHORYLCHOLINE GROUP, POLYMER THEREOF, AND PROCESS

FOR PRODUCING THE SAME

Examiner: Rei Tsang Shiao

Group Art Unit: 1626

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

MS Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Action dated July 19, 2007, applicants provisionally elect, with traverse, to prosecute Group I, claims 1-4. Applicants also provisionally elect, with traverse, the species represented by Formula (I) in which X1 and X2 are both amino groups and A is -COO-.

The alleged basis for the requirement is the Examiner's assertion that "the compounds defined in the claims lack a significant structural element qualifying as the special technical feature that defines a contribution over the prior art, see Arimori et al. US 6,040,415." This statement, which is all the substantive guidance the Examiner offers in the Action as the basis for questioning unity of invention, falls short of the level of information required by MPEP 1893.03(d), which requires the Examiner to "specifically describe[e] the unique special technical feature in each group." The pending Action does not identify the alleged special technical feature(s) mentioned by